



Evolution and Religion... or religion and religion?

A matter of the heart!

The theory of evolution is based on the idea that everything started with a cell and gradually developed into what we see today...because of need. Generally this need is described with the term "the survival of the fittest".

How about a chicken or the egg question. Which evolved first, the heart, the blood or the blood vessels? Be careful how you answer.

I say the heart came first!

Okay! Without the heart there would be no need for the blood or blood vessels. But, without the blood and blood vessels there would be no heart. The purpose of the heart is to pump the blood through the blood vessels to the body. Even the heart cannot survive without the blood and blood vessels.

Okay, then the blood must have come first. So, now we have blood with the purpose to protect, nourish, stop bleeding and dispose of pollutant in the body...without a way to do its job.

The blood vessels are passive parts of this picture. They have no reason to exist without blood or the heart.

It would seem reasonable to come to the conclusion that the heart, blood, blood vessels and the nervous system that keeps them working had to all come together at the same time.

To believe otherwise would take **gigantic faith** in the impossible. Since faith is a product of belief, not science, is it possible we are dealing with an issue of **religion** and religion instead of **evolution** and religion?

Uncle Noah

childrensbiblestudy.com